

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,271	12/12/2003	Tomomi Sugiyama	11333/31	3598
BRINKS HOFI P.O. BOX 1039			EXAMINER BEX, PATRICIA K	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
SHORTENED STATISTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	per iven	V MODE
	, <u></u>		DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	31 DAYS 04/18/2007 PAPE		PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<u>ح</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/735,271	SUGIYAMA, TOMOMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	P. Kathryn Bex	1743	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/27/	<u> 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	* * * *	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	" ((DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: __

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-19, drawn to a clinical laboratory management system and management apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 67.
 - II. Claims 20-21, drawn to a laboratory management system for managing an assay result, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
 - III. Claim 22, drawn to a laboratory management system for managing dilution rate of sample, classified in class 702, subclass 120.
 - IV. Claim 23, drawn to a recording medium for execution by a management apparatus, classified in class 709, subclass 224.
 - V. Claim 24, drawn to a recording medium for execution by a management apparatus, classified in class 714, subclass 25.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, and III are directed to related products (i.e., management systems). The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. Specifically, group I includes an analyzer for analyzing a sample not required the remaining groups. Group II includes

Application/Control Number: 10/735,271

Art Unit: 1743

an assay result receiving means and assay result correcting means not used in groups I or III. Likewise, group III includes a dilution rate output means not required in group I or

Page 3

- II. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Inventions I and [IV, V] are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of group I does not require the particular function for storing or correcting an assay result of group IV, or the function for determining a quantity of fluid sample as recited in group V. In addition, the subcombinations have separate utilities; for example, the invention of group IV has can be used for immunological agglutination reaction like that disclosed in US Patent no. 4,727,033 to Hijikata et al.
- 4. Inventions II and [IV, V] are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of group II does not require the function for storing or correcting an assay

Art Unit: 1743

result of group IV or the function for determining a quantity of fluid sample as recited in group V. The subcombinations have separate utilities, for example, the invention of group IV has can be used for immunological agglutination reaction like that disclosed in US Patent no. 4,727,033 to Hijikata et al.

- 5. Inventions III and [IV, V] are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of group III does not require the function for storing or correcting an assay result of group IV or the function for determining a quantity of fluid sample as recited in group V. The subcombinations have separate utilities, for example, the invention of group IV has can be used for immunological agglutination reaction.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

Art Unit: 1743

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 7. Inventions IV and V are directed to related products (i.e., recording medium). The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. Specifically, group IV includes a function for correcting a result of a assay output not required by group V. Likewise, group V includes a function for inputting, a function for calculating a dilution rate, and a function for receiving an assay result not required in group IV. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. A telephone call was made to Tadashi Horie on April 11, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to P. Kathryn Wright whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9 AM to 6 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

April 14, 2007

pkw

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700